APPENDIX B FLOODPLAIN RISK ASSESSMENT SHEETS

Project No.		_IM-465-4()		Date _	Date February 14, 2002		
Structure No.		None		County	Marion		
Location		Farley/Topp Creek					
Stream Evaluator		Richard Ray					
1.	Risks						
	B. Hom U _f Do C. Adja	(Construction Year) les in Base Floodplain lestream to 1000'	<1000 0 0 low	Me	0-5000 1-5 1-5 edium	>5000 5 high	
	E. Struc Bo Sir Th	ht of Fill Unknown ture Type ox/pipe culvert ngle span bridge ree span bridge ultiple span bridge	<10′	10)'-25'	>25′	
			ransverse	Long	itudinal		
	H. Is this I. Pract J. Knov	eam unstable? s the only route for emergency o ticable detour? vn drainage problems? yes, describe)	Ye access? x	X	<u> </u>		
2.		are the impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values Natural moderation of floods, quality maintenance, and groundwater recharge.					
3. Will this project support probable incompatible floodplain development? If so, to what extent? No							
4. Possible measures to minimize the floodplain impacts, and/or restore and preserve the natural floodplain values impacted by this project. Build with a reduced median. Lower project profile. Steepen side slopes to reduce footprint of project.							
5.	Determination of significance: Impacts at this site are not considered significant.						

Project No.		IM-465-4()		Date February 14, 2002			
Structure No.		None		County <u>Marion</u>			
Location		Big Eagle Creek					
Stream Evaluator		Richard Ray				_	
1.	Risks						
	B. Home. Ups Dov C. Adjace D. Height E. Structu Box, Sing Thre	Construction Year) s in Base Floodplain tream to 1000' vnstream to 1000' ent Property Value t of Fill Unknown ure Type /pipe culvert gle span bridge tiple span bridge	<1000 0 low <10'	M 10	0-5000 1-5 1-5 edium 0'-25'	>5000 5 5 high >25'	
	F. The er	ncroachment is:	Transverse Yes	_	jitudinal		
	H. Is this to I. Practic J. Known	am unstable? the only route for emergency cable detour? n drainage problems? es, describe)	access?	X	<u> </u>		
2.		are the impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values and groundwater recharge. Natural moderation of floods,					
3.							
4.	4. Possible measures to minimize the floodplain impacts, and/or restore and preserve the natural floodplain values impacted by this project. Bridge over 100-year floodplain.						
5.	Determination of significance: Impacts at this site are not considered significant.						

Project No.		_IM-465-4()		Date February 14, 2002			
Structure No.		None		County Marion			
Location		Mud Run					
Stream Evaluator		Richard Ray					
1.	Risks						
	B. Homes Upst Dow C. Adjace D. Height E. Structu Box/ Sing Thre Mult	Construction Year) s in Base Floodplain tream to 1000' vnstream to 1000' ent Property Value of Fill Unknown ure Type /pipe culvert le span bridge te span bridge tiple span bridge ticroachment is:	<1000	M 10	1-5 1-5 edium 0'-25'	5 5 high >25'	
	H. Is this t I. Practic J. Known	am unstable? The only route for emergenc Table detour? In drainage problems? Tes, describe)		s No x x x x x x	<u> </u>		
2.	What are the impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values Natural moderation of floods, water quality maintenance, and groundwater recharge.						
3.							
4.	4. Possible measures to minimize the floodplain impacts, and/or restore and preserve the natural floodplain values impacted by this project. Steepen side slopes to reduce footprint of project.						
5.	. Determination of significance: Impacts at this site are not considered significant.						

Project No.	_IM-465-4()		Date February 14, 2002				
Structure No.	None		County Marion				
Location	Dry Run						
Stream Evaluator	Richard Ray						
1. Risks							
B. Homes Upsi Dow C. Adjace D. Height E. Structu Box/ Sing Thre	Construction Year) s in Base Floodplain tream to 1000' vnstream to 1000' ent Property Value of Fill Unknown ure Type /pipe culvert le span bridge tee span bridge tiple span bridge tipre span bridge ticroachment is:		Me 10	0-5000 1-5 1-5 edium 7-25'	>5000 5 5 high >25'		
H. Is this t I. Practic J. Known	am unstable? The only route for emergency access able detour? In drainage problems? es, describe)		s No x x x x	- 			
	What are the impacts on natural and beneficial floodplain values water quality maintenance, and groundwater recharge. Natural moderation of floods,						
3. Will this project support probable incompatible floodplain development? If so, to what extent? No							
4. Possible measures to minimize the floodplain impacts, and/or restore and preserve the natural floodplain values impacted by this project. Steepen side slopes to reduce footprint of project.							
5. Determination	Determination of significance: Impacts at this site are not considered significant.						